1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
7		OIM I
8	DARNELL OTIS MCGARY,	
9	Petitioner,	CASE NO. C09-5156 BHS
10	v.	ORDER DECLINING TO ISSUE A CERTIFICATE OF
11	HENRY RICHARDS,	APPEALABILITY
12	Respondent.	
13		
14	This matter comes before the Court on the referral from the Ninth Circuit Court of	
15	Appeals to determine whether a certificate of appealability should issue. Dkt. 42.	
16	I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY	
17	In 2009, McGary filed a petition for habeas corpus relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2254	
18	in this Court. Dkt. 1. On August 19, 2009, U.S. Magistrate Judge Strombom issued a	
19	report and recommendation, recommending that the Court dismiss McGary's petition	
20	with prejudice. Dkt. 21. McGary filed objections to the report and recommendation.	
21	Dkt. 23. On September 29, 2009, this Court approved and adopted Judge Strombom's	
22	report and recommendation and dismissed McGary's petition with prejudice. Dkt. 25;	

see also Dkt. 31 (Judgment, entered on November 13, 2009). The Court denied McGary's request for issuance of a certificate of appealability. Dkt. 32. On February 28, 3 2011, the Ninth Circuit also denied a certificate of appealability. Dkt. 35 (Mandate). 4 On January 28, 2013, McGary filed a motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)(6), 5 seeking to reopen his 2009 habeas corpus proceeding. Dkt. 37. On January 31, 2013, Respondent Dr. Henry Richards filed a response in opposition to McGary's motion. Dkt. 6 38. On February 11, 2013, McGary filed a reply. Dkt. 39. 8 On March 14, 2013, the Court issued an order denying McGary's 60(b)(6) motion. 9 Dkt. 40. On March 21, 2013, McGary filed a notice of appeal. Dkt. 41. On March 28, 10 2013, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals remanded this case for the limited purpose of 11 determining whether a certificate of appealability should issue. Dkt. 42. 12 II. **DISCUSSION** 13 A petitioner seeking post-conviction relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 may appeal a 14 district court's dismissal of his federal habeas petition only after obtaining a certificate of 15 appealability from a district or circuit judge. A certificate of appealability may issue only 16 where a petitioner has made "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional 17 right." See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(3). A petitioner satisfies this standard "by demonstrating 18 that jurists of reason could disagree with the district court's resolution of his 19 constitutional claims or that jurists could conclude the issues presented are adequate to 20 deserve encouragement to proceed further." Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 327 21 (2003).

22

In this case, the Court finds that McGary's petition and other pleadings do not make a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. McGary's submissions do not contain factual or legal argument from which this Court can conclude that he presents legal issues which deserve encouragement to proceed further. Therefore, the Court declines to grant a certificate of appealability. III. ORDER It is hereby **ORDERED** that a certificate of appealability is **DENIED**. Dated this 29<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2013.